arXiv:1612.03661v1 [hep-ph] 12 Dec 2016

Radial Excitation of the Decuplet Baryons

T. M. Aliev,[1] K. Azizi,[2] and H. Sundu[3]

[1] *Physics Department, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey*
[2] *Physics Department, Doğuş University, Acıbadem-Kadıköy, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey*
[3] *Physics Department, Kocaeli University, 41380 Izmit, Turkey*

(ΩDated: December 13, 2016)

The ground and first excited states of the decuplet baryons are studied using the two-point QCD sum rule approach. The mass and residue of these states are computed and compared with the existing experimental data and other theoretical predictions. The results for the masses of the ground state particles as well as the excited Δ and Σ^* states are in good consistency with experimental data. Our results on the excited Ξ^* and Ω^- states reveal that the experimentally poorely known $\Xi(1950)$ and $\Omega^-(2250)$ can be assigned as the first excited states in Ξ^* and Ω^- channels, respectively.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the spectroscopy of hadrons is living its renaissance period due to the discovery of many new particles. The study of the spectroscopy and internal structure of hadrons is one of the main problems in hadron physics. Investigation of the properties of hadrons will give clear picture for understanding the dynamics of their excited states. The experimental study of the spectrum of excited baryons is one of the central elements of the physics programs of many accelerators. During last years, there have been made a remarkable progress to collect data on excited state hadrons at JLAB, MIT-Bates, LEGS, MAMI, ELSA, etc. In experiments, radial excitations of hadrons having the same quantum numbers as the ground states are discovered [1].

The analysis of the properties of excited hadrons represent a formidable task due to the fact that they can interact with many hadrons and it leads to the difficulty in their identification. For studying the properties of ground state hadrons the QCD sum rule approach [2, 3] has occupied a special place and has been very successfull. It is based the on fundamental QCD Lagrangian and all non-perturbative effects are parametrized in terms of quark and gluon condenstates. In this sense, there appears the following question. How successfull this method is for the study of the radially excited baryons which carries the same quantum numbers as the ground states? In present letter we apply this method to study the excitations of decuplet baryons. It should be noted that the radial excitations of light-heavy mesons, the mass of first radial excitation of mesons and nucleon by using the least square method within the QCD sum rules method are analysed in [4] and [5], respectively. Recently, this method has been applied for estimation of the mass and residue of the first radial excitations of octet baryons in [6] and it has been found that the method is very predictive for radial excitation of baryons as well.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the mass sum rules for decuplet baryons including their first radial excitations are calculated. In Section III, the numerical analysis of the obtained sum rules are presented. Last section is devoted to our summary and conclusions.

II. QCD SUM RULES FOR THE MASS AND RESIDUE OF DECUPLET BARYONS INCLUDING THEIR RADIAL EXCITATIONS

In order to derive the two-point QCD sum rules required for obtaining the masses and residues of the radially excited decuplet baryons, we consider the following correlation function:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = i \int d^4x e^{iq\cdot x} \langle 0|\mathcal{T}\{\eta_\mu^D(x)\bar{\eta}_\nu^D(0)\}|0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\eta_\mu^D(x)$ is the interpolating current of the decuplet baryon and q is its four momentum. The interpolating current of the decuplet baryons coupled to the ground and excited states with the same quantum number can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\mu^D &= A\epsilon^{abc}\Big\{(q_1^{aT}C\gamma_\mu q_2^b)q_3^c + (q_2^{aT}C\gamma_\mu q_3^b)q_1^c \\ &+ (q_3^{aT}C\gamma_\mu q_1^b)q_2^c\Big\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where a, b, c are color indices, C is the charge conjugation operator and A is the normalization constant. The light quark fields q_1, q_2, q_3 and the normalization constant for different members of decuplet baryons are collected in table I (For details see for instance [3, 7–9]].

To derive the aimed QCD sum rules for the mass and residue of the considered baryons, the above correlation function has to be calculated using both the hadronic and OPE (operator product expansion) languages. By equating these two representations, one can get the QCD sum rules for the physical quantities of the considered baryons.

	A	q_1	q_2	q_3
Δ	$\sqrt{1/3}$	d	d	u
Σ^*	$\sqrt{2/3}$	u	d	s
Ξ^*	$\sqrt{1/3}$	s	s	u
Ω^-	$1/3$	s	s	s

TABLE I: The value of the normalization constant A and the quark fields q_1, q_2, q_3 for the decuplet baryons.

A. Hadronic Representation

The correlation function in the hadronic side is calculated in terms of the hadronic degrees of freedom which contains the physical parameters of the decuplet baryons. After insertion of a complete set of baryonic state with the same quantum numbers as the interpolating current, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm Had}(q) &= \frac{\langle 0|\eta_\mu|D(q,s)\rangle\langle D(q,s)|\bar{\eta}_\nu|0\rangle}{m_D^2-q^2} \\ &+ \frac{\langle 0|\eta_\mu|D'(q,s)\rangle\langle D'(q,s)|\bar{\eta}_\nu|0\rangle}{m_{D'}^2-q^2} \\ &+ \ldots \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with m_D and $m_{D'}$ being the mass of the ground and first excited states of the decuplet baryons, respectively. The dots indicates the contributions coming from the higher states and continuum. Since the ground and first radial excitations of decuplet baryons having the same quantum numbers, their matrix element between vacuum and one particle states are defined in similar manner, i.e. the matrix elements in Eq. (3) are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0|\eta_\mu|D(q,s)\rangle &= \lambda_D u_\mu(q,s), \\ \langle 0|\eta_\mu|D'(q,s)\rangle &= \lambda_{D'} u'_\mu(q,s),\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\lambda_{D^{(\prime)}}$ is the residue of the corresponding baryon. Then using the summations over spins of the Rarita-Schwinger spinor,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_s u_\mu^{(\prime)}(q,s)\bar{u}_\nu^{(\prime)}(q,s) &= -(\not{q}+m_{D^{(\prime)}})\Big[g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{3}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu \\ &- \frac{2q_\mu q_\nu}{3m_{D^{(\prime)}}^2}+\frac{q_\mu\gamma_\nu-q_\nu\gamma_\mu}{3m_{D^{(\prime)}}}\Big], \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

for physical part we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm Had}(q) &= \frac{\lambda_D^2}{q^2-m_D^2}(\not{q}+m_D)\Big[g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{3}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu \\ &- \frac{2q_\mu q_\nu}{3m_D^2}+\frac{q_\mu\gamma_\nu-q_\nu\gamma_\mu}{3m_D}\Big] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{D'}^2}{q^2-m_{D'}^2}(\not{q}+m_{D'})\Big[g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{3}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu \\ &- \frac{2q_\mu q_\nu}{3m_{D'}^2}+\frac{q_\mu\gamma_\nu-q_\nu\gamma_\mu}{3m_{D'}}\Big]+\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It should be noted that the current η_μ couples not only to the spin-3/2 particles but also to spin-1/2 states. Hence, the unwanted spin-1/2 contributions should be removed. To this end, we try to make the entered structures independent of each other by a special ordering of the Dirac matrices and seperate the spin-1/2 contributions that can be easily remove from the correlation function. The matrix element of the η_μ between vacuum and spin-1/2 states can be parametrized as

$$\langle 0|\eta_\mu^D|\frac{1}{2}(q)\rangle = (C_1 q_\mu + C_2\gamma_\mu)\,u(q), \tag{7}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are some constants and $u(q)$ is the Dirac spinor of momentum q. By imposing the condition $\eta_\mu^D\gamma_\mu=0$, one immediately finds C_1 in terms of C_2,

$$\langle 0|\eta_\mu^D|\frac{1}{2}(q)\rangle = C_2\left(-\frac{4}{m_{\frac{1}{2}}}q_\mu+\gamma_\mu\right)u(q), \tag{8}$$

where $m_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the spin-1/2 mass. It is cleare from this formula that the unwanted spin-1/2 contributions are proportional to either q_μ or γ_μ. After insertion of this equation into the correlation function and ordering of the corresponding Dirac matrices as $\gamma_\mu\not{q}\gamma_\nu$ we remove the terms with the γ_μ in the beginning, γ_ν at the end and those proportional to q_μ and q_ν in order to get rid of the spin-1/2 contributions. In the result, only two structures $\not{q}\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ contain contributions from spin-3/2 states. Hence, for hadronic part of the correlation function, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm Had}(q) &= \frac{\lambda_D^2}{q^2-m_D^2}(\not{q}g_{\mu\nu}+m_D g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_{D'}^2}{q^2-m_{D'}^2}(\not{q}g_{\mu\nu}+m_{D'}g_{\mu\nu})+\ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The Borel transformation with respect to q^2, with the aim of suppressing the contributions of the higher states and continuum, leads to the final form of the hadronic representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{q^2}\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm Had}(q) &= \lambda_D^2 e^{-\frac{m_D^2}{M^2}}(\not{q}g_{\mu\nu}+m_D g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \lambda_{D'}^2 e^{-\frac{m_{D'}^2}{M^2}}(\not{q}g_{\mu\nu}+m_{D'}g_{\mu\nu})+\ldots \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

B. OPE Representation

The OPE side of the correlation function is calculated at large space-like region where $q^2\ll 0$ in terms of quark-gluon degrees of freedom. For this aim, we subsstitute the interpolating current given by Eq. (2) into Eq. (1), and contract the relevant quark fields. As a result, we get:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm OPE,\Delta}(q) = \frac{i}{3}\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{a'b'c'}\int d^4x e^{iqx}\langle 0|\Big\{2S_d^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{bc'}(x) - 2S_d^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{bc'}(x) + 4S_d^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_u^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_d^{ac'}(x) + 2S_u^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_d^{bc'}(x) - 2S_u^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_d^{ac'}(x) - S_u^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_d^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] + S_u^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_d^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] - 4S_d^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_u^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big]\Big\}|0\rangle, \quad (11)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm OPE,\Sigma^*}(q) = -\frac{2i}{3}\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{a'b'c'}\int d^4x e^{iqx}\langle 0|\Big\{S_d^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_u^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{ac'}(x) + S_d^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{bc'}(x) + S_s^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{ac'}(x) + S_s^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_u^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_d^{bc'}(x) + S_u^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_d^{ac'}(x) + S_u^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{bc'}(x) + S_s^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_d^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_u^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] + S_u^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_s^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_d^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] + S_d^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_u^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big]\Big\}|0\rangle, \quad (12)$$

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm OPE,\Xi^*}(q) = \frac{i}{3}\epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{a'b'c'}\int d^4x e^{iqx}\langle 0|\Big\{2S_s^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{bc'}(x) - 2S_s^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_u^{bc'}(x) + 4S_s^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_u^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{ac'}(x) + 2S_u^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{bc'}(x) - 2S_u^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{ac'}(x) - S_u^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_s^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] + S_u^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_s^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] - 4S_s^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_u^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big]\Big\}|0\rangle, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm OPE,\Omega^-}(q) = \epsilon_{abc}\epsilon_{a'b'c'}\int d^4x e^{iqx}\langle 0|\Big\{S_s^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{bc'}(x) - S_s^{ca'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{ac'}(x) - S_s^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{bc'}(x) + S_s^{cb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\mu S_s^{ac'}(x) - S_s^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_s^{ba'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{ab'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big] + S_s^{cc'}(x)Tr\Big[S_s^{bb'}(x)\gamma_\nu\widetilde{S}_s^{aa'}(x)\gamma_\mu\Big]\Big\}|0\rangle, \quad (14)$$

where $\widetilde{S}(x) = CS^T(x)C$ and the $S_q^{ab}(x)$ with $q = u, d, s$ appering in Eqs. (11-14) is the light quark propagator. The explicit expression for the light quark propagator in $x-$space has the following form

$$S_q^{ab}(x) = i\delta_{ab}\frac{\not x}{2\pi^2x^4} - \delta_{ab}\frac{m_q}{4\pi^2x^2} - \delta_{ab}\frac{\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{12} + i\delta_{ab}\frac{\not x m_q\langle\overline{q}q\rangle}{48} - \delta_{ab}\frac{x^2}{192}\langle\overline{q}g\sigma Gq\rangle + i\delta_{ab}\frac{x^2\not x m_q}{1152}\langle\overline{q}g\sigma Gs\rangle - i\frac{gG_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}}{32\pi^2x^2}\left[\not x\sigma_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma_{\alpha\beta}\not x\right] - \delta_{ab}\frac{x^4\langle\overline{q}q\rangle\langle g^2G^2\rangle}{27648} + \ldots \quad (15)$$

where m_q is the light quark mass.

By using this propagator in Eqs. (11-14) and performing the Fourier and Borel transformations as well as appling the continuum subtraction, after very lengthy calculations, we get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{q^2}\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rm OPE}(q) = \widetilde{\Pi}_1\, \not q g_{\mu\nu} + \widetilde{\Pi}_2\, g_{\mu\nu} + \cdots, \quad (16)$$

where the functions $\widetilde{\Pi}_1$ and $\widetilde{\Pi}_2$, for instance for Σ^*, are obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Pi}_1^{\Sigma^*} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}}\left\{\frac{s^2}{5\times 2^5\pi^2}+\frac{1}{3\times 2^2}\left[\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\left(m_d-2m_u-2m_s\right)+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left(m_u-2m_d-2m_s\right)\right.\right.\\
&+ \left.\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\left(m_s-2m_u-2m_d\right)\right]-\frac{5\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^2\times 2^7\pi^2}+\frac{7\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^3\times 2^4M^4}\left[\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\left(m_u+m_s\right)+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left(m_d+m_s\right)\right.\\
&+ \left.\left.\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\left(m_u+m_d\right)\right]\mathrm{Log}\left[\frac{s}{\Lambda^2}\right]\right\}+\frac{m_0^2\langle\bar{d}d\rangle}{3^2\times 2^3\pi^2}\left(7m_u+7m_s-5m_d\right)\\
&+ \frac{m_0^2\langle\bar{u}u\rangle}{3^2\times 2^3\pi^2}\left(7m_d+7m_s-5m_u\right)+\frac{m_0^2\langle\bar{s}s\rangle}{3^2\times 2^3\pi^2}\left(7m_u+7m_d-5m_s\right)\\
&+ \frac{4}{3^2}\left(\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)-\frac{7}{3^3M^2}m_0^2\left(\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^3\times 2^4\pi^2M^2}\left\{\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\left[4(m_u+m_s)-m_d\right]+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left[4(m_d+m_s)-m_u\right]+\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\left[4(m_u+m_d)-m_s\right]\right\}\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^4\times 2^7\pi^2M^4}m_0^2\left\{\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\left[m_d-48(m_u+m_s)\right]+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left[m_u-48(m_d+m_s)\right]\right.\\
&+ \left.\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\left[m_s-48(m_u+m_d)\right]\right\}+\frac{7\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^3\times 2^4\pi^2s_0M^2}\left\{\langle\bar{d}d\rangle(m_u+m_s)+\langle\bar{u}u\rangle(m_d+m_s)\right.\\
&+ \left.\langle\bar{s}s\rangle(m_d+m_u)\right\}\left[M^2+s_0\mathrm{Log}\left[\frac{s}{\Lambda^2}\right]\right]e^{-\frac{s_0}{M^2}}, \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Pi}_2^{\Sigma^*} &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^{s_0} ds e^{-\frac{s}{M^2}}\left\{\frac{s^2\left(m_u+m_d+m_s\right)}{2^6\pi^2}-\frac{\left(\langle\bar{u}u\rangle+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)s}{3^2}-\frac{m_0^2\left(\langle\bar{u}u\rangle+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)}{3^2\times 2}\right.\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle\left(m_u+m_d+m_s\right)}{3^2\times 2^7\pi^2}\left[(8\gamma_E-3)-8\mathrm{Log}\left[\frac{s}{\Lambda^2}\right]\right]+\frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle^2\left(m_u+m_d+m_s\right)}{3^3\times 2^9\pi^2M^4}\mathrm{Log}\left[\frac{s}{\Lambda^2}\right]\Bigg\}\\
&+ \frac{2}{3}\left[\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle m_u+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{u}u\rangle m_s+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{u}u\rangle m_d\right]-\frac{m_0^2}{3^3\times 2^2M^2}\left[\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\left(4m_u+9m_d+9m_s\right)\right.\\
&+ \left.\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left(9m_u+9m_d+4m_s\right)+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\left(9m_u+4m_d+9m_s\right)\right]\\
&- \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^2\times 2^4\pi^4}M^2\left(m_u+m_d+m_s\right)\gamma_E\left(1-e^{-\frac{s_0}{M^2}}\right)\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle^2\left(m_u+m_d+m_s\right)}{3^4\times 2^9\pi^4M^2s_0}\left(5s_0+3M^2e^{-\frac{s_0}{M^2}}+3s_0\mathrm{Log}\left[\frac{s_0}{\Lambda^2}\right]e^{-\frac{s_0}{M^2}}\right)\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^3\times 2^3\pi^2}\left(\langle\bar{u}u\rangle+\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)+\frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^2\times 2M^4}\left(m_u\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle+m_s\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{u}u\rangle+m_d\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)\\
&- \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^3\times 2^6\pi^2M^2}\left(m_0^2\langle\bar{d}d\rangle+m_0^2\langle\bar{u}u\rangle+m_0^2\langle\bar{s}s\rangle\right)\\
&+ \frac{\langle g_s^2G^2\rangle}{3^2\times 2\pi^2M^6}\left(m_um_0^2\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle+m_dm_0^2\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{s}s\rangle+m_sm_0^2\langle\bar{u}u\rangle\langle\bar{d}d\rangle\right), \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

where Λ is the cut–of energy separating the perturbative and nonperturbative domains, γ_E is the Euler constant. The results for the Δ, Ξ^* and Ω^- baryons can be obtained Eqs. (17)-(18) with the help of the following replacements,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Delta} &= \widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Sigma^*}\left(u\to d, s\to u\right),\\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Xi^*} &= \widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Sigma^*}\left(u\to s, d\to s, s\to u\right),\\
\widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Omega^-} &= \widetilde{\Pi}_{1,2}^{\Sigma^*}\left(u\to s, d\to s\right). \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Having calculated both the hadronic and OPE sides of the correlation function, we match the coefficients of the structures $\not{q}g_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ from these two sides and obtain the following sum rules that will be used to extract the masses and residues of the ground and first excited states:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_D^2e^{-\frac{m_D^2}{M^2}}+\lambda_{D'}^2e^{-\frac{m_{D'}^2}{M^2}} &= \widetilde{\Pi}_1^D,\\
m_D\lambda_D^2e^{-\frac{m_D^2}{M^2}}+m_{D'}\lambda_{D'}^2e^{-\frac{m_{D'}^2}{M^2}} &= \widetilde{\Pi}_2^D. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Parameters	Values
m_u	$2.2^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$ MeV[10]
m_d	$4.7^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ MeV [10]
m_s	96^{+8}_{-4} MeV [10]
$\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$	$(-0.24 \pm 0.01)^3$ GeV^3 [8]
$\langle\bar{s}s\rangle$	$0.8\langle\bar{q}q\rangle$ [8]
m_0^2	(0.8 ± 0.1) GeV^2 [8]
$\langle g_s^2 G^2\rangle$	$4\pi^2(0.012 \pm 0.004)$ GeV^4[9]
Λ	(0.5 ± 0.1) GeV [11]

TABLE II: Some input parameters.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

As is seen from Eq. (20) in order to obtain the numerical values of the mass and residue of the radial excitation of the decuplet baryons, we need to have the values of the mass and residue of the ground states. Because of this, firstly, we calculate the mass and residue of the ground state particles by choosing an appropriate threshold (s_0) according to the standard prescriptions and considering the primmed states as higher states. The sum rules contain numerous parameters, i.e. quark, gluon and mixed condensates and mass of the light quarks, values of which are shown in table II.

In addition to the input parameters, the auxiliary parameter M^2 should also be fixed. We find the working region of M^2 such that the physical quantities weakly depend on it as much as possible. This is achieved demanding that not only the contributions of the higher states and continuum should be small compared to the ground state contribution, but also the higher dimensional operators should have small contributions and the series of sum rules should converge.

Using the above procedures, the working regions of M^2 and s_0 are obtained for the ground state of the decuplet baryons as given in table III. After standard analysis of the sum rules, we extract the values of the mass and residue of the ground state decuplet baryons as presented in table IV. We also compare our results with the existing experimental data and other theoretical predicting in this table. From this table, we see that our predictions on the ground state mass of the decuplet baryons are in good consistencies with the average experimental data presented in PDG [10]. In the case of the residues, our predictions for the residue of ground states are overall comparable with those obtained in [7, 12].

At this stage, we proceed to find the values of the masses and residues of the radially excited baryons considering the values of the masses and residues of the corresponding gound state baryons as inputs. For this aim the sum rules in Eq. (20) are used. When taking into account the contributions of the radial excitations, the continuum threshold should be changed compared to the previous case. Using the standard criteria, the continuum threshold (s_0') for the first excited states is found as presented in table V. The mass and residue of the excited D' baryons versus M^2 at different values of new continuum threshols are shown in Figs.1-4. Extracted from our analysis, we depict the numerical values of the masses and residues of D' baryons in table VI.



FIG. 1: **Left:** The mass of the radial excitation of the Δ baryon vs Borel parameter M^2. **Right:** The residue of the radial excitation of the Δ baryon vs Borel parameter M^2.

Baryon	$M^2\,(\mathrm{GeV}^2)$	$s_0\,(\mathrm{GeV}^2)$
Δ	$1.5 \leq M^2 \leq 3.0$	$1.7^2 \leq s_0 \leq 1.9^2$
Σ^*	$1.6 \leq M^2 \leq 3.5$	$1.8^2 \leq s_0 \leq 2.0^2$
Ξ^*	$1.9 \leq M^2 \leq 4.0$	$2.0^2 \leq s_0 \leq 2.2^2$
Ω	$2.0 \leq M^2 \leq 5.0$	$2.1^2 \leq s_0 \leq 2.3^2$

TABLE III: The working regions of M^2 and s_0 for the ground state Δ, Σ^*, Ξ^* and Ω baryons.

Mass	m_Δ (MeV)	m_{Σ^*} (MeV)	m_{Ξ^*} (MeV)	m_{Ω^-} (MeV)
Present study	1226 ± 124	1389 ± 142	1577 ± 163	1657 ± 172
Experiment [10]	$1209 \div 1211$	1382.80 ± 0.35	1531.80 ± 0.32	1672.45 ± 0.29
Residue	$\lambda_\Delta\,(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$	$\lambda_{\Sigma^*}\,(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$	$\lambda_{\Xi^*}\,(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$	$\lambda_{\Omega^-}\,(\mathrm{GeV}^3)$
Present study	0.029 ± 0.008	0.036 ± 0.010	0.045 ± 0.013	0.049 ± 0.015
[7]	0.038 ± 0.010	0.043 ± 0.012	0.053 ± 0.014	0.068 ± 0.019
[12](average central values)	0.044	0.051	0.062	0.073

TABLE IV: The numerical values of masses and residues of the ground state Δ, Σ^*, Ξ^* and Ω^- baryons. In [12] the numerical values of $\widetilde{\lambda}^2 = 4\pi^2\lambda^2$ are given in chiral-odd and chiral-even sum rules approachs. To compare with our results, we calculate the average λ from those results and do not show the uncertainties of the results.



FIG. 2: The same as Fig. 1, but for the radial excitation of Σ^* baryon.

Baryon	$s_0\,(\mathrm{GeV}^2)$
Δ	$2.2^2 \leq s_0' \leq 2.4^2$
Σ^*	$2.5^2 \leq s_0' \leq 2.7^2$
Ξ^*	$2.8^2 \leq s_0' \leq 3.0^2$
Ω	$3.1^2 \leq s_0' \leq 3.3^2$

TABLE V: The working region of s_0' for the radial excitation Δ, Σ^*, Ξ^* and Ω^- baryons.

A quick glance at table VI leads to the following results:

- The values of the masses obtained for the radially excited Δ and Σ^* baryons are in a good consistency with the experimentally well known $\Delta(1600)$ and $\Sigma(1730)$ baryons masses.

- Our result on the mass of the radially excited Ξ^* baryon is in nice consistency with the experimen-



FIG. 3: The same as Fig. 1, but for the radial excitation of Ξ^* baryon.



FIG. 4: The same as Fig. 1, but for the radial excitation of Ω^- baryon.

tally observed $\Xi(1950)$ state presented in PDG [10]. The quantum numbers of this state is not established yet from the experiment. This consistency suggests that the $\Xi(1950)$ state can be assigned as the first excited state of the ground state $\Xi(1530)$.

- In Ω^-, our prediction for the excited state is also consistent with the mass of the experimentally observed (but unkwown quantum numbers) $\Omega(2250)^-$ state within the errors. Therefore our analyses show that the $\Omega(2250)^-$ listed in the PDG [10] at Ω^- channel can be assigned as the first excited state of the ground state Ω^- with quantum numbers $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the radially excited baryons in Δ, Σ^*, Ξ^* and Ω^- channells and found the mass and residues of the corresponding first excited states in the framework of two-point QCD sum rules. First, we extracted the mass and residue of the ground state baryons by choosing an appropraite threshold from the obtained sum rules. We, then, used those values as inputs to obtain the mass and residue of the first excited state in each channels. Our results for the ground states are in nice agreements with the experimental data and the existing theoretical predictions. In the case of excited states, our predictions for the mass of the excited Δ and Σ^* states are in good consistency with the experimentally known $\Delta(1600)$ and $\Sigma(1730)$ states masses. In the case of excited Ξ^* and Ω^- baryons, our results lead that the experimentally poorely known $\Xi(1950)$ and $\Omega(2250)^-$ states can be assigned as the first excited states in Ξ^* and Ω^- channels with $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$. Our results for the residues can be verified via different theoretical approaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work of K. A. was financed by Doğuş University under the grant BAP 2015-16-D1-B04.

Mass	$m_{\Delta'}$ (MeV)	$m_{\Sigma^{*\prime}}$ (MeV)	$m_{\Xi^{*\prime}}$ (MeV)	$m_{\Omega^{-\prime}}$ (MeV)
Present study	1483 ± 133	1719 ± 179	1965 ± 178	2176 ± 219
Experiment [10]	$1460 - 1560$	1727 ± 27	-	-
Residue	$\lambda_{\Delta'}$ (GeV^3)	$\lambda_{\Sigma^{*\prime}}$ (GeV^3)	$\lambda_{\Xi^{*\prime}}$ (GeV^3)	$\lambda_{\Omega^{-\prime}}$ (GeV^3)
Present study	0.057 ± 0.016	0.076 ± 0.022	0.103 ± 0.030	0.129 ± 0.039

TABLE VI: The numerical values of masses and residues of the radial excitation of the Δ, Σ^*, Ξ^* and Ω^- baryons.

[1] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], "Review of Particle Physics," Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014). doi:10.1088/1674-1137/38/9/090001

[2] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, "QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations," Nucl. Phys. B **147**, 385 (1979). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90022-1

[3] B. L. Ioffe, "Calculation of Baryon Masses in Quantum Chromodynamics," Nucl. Phys. B **188**, 317 (1981) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B **191**, 591 (1981)]. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90315-1, 10.1016/0550-3213(81)90259-5

[4] P. Gelhausen, A. Khodjamirian, A. A. Pivovarov and D. Rosenthal, "Radial excitations of heavy-light mesons from QCD sum rules," Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, no. 8, 2979 (2014) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2979-z [arXiv:1404.5891 [hep-ph]].

[5] J. F. Jiang and S. L. Zhu, "Radial excitations of mesons and nucleons from QCD sum rules," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 7, 074002 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.074002 [arXiv:1508.00677 [hep-ph]].

[6] T. M. Aliev and S. Bilmiş, "Analysis of radial excitations of octet baryons in QCD sum rules," Advanced in High Energy Physics (in press)

[7] K. Azizi and G. Bozkır, "Decuplet baryons in a hot medium," Eur. Phys. J. C **76** (2016) no.10, 521 [arXiv:1606.05452 [hep-ph]].

[8] V. M. Belyaev and B. L. Ioffe, "Determination of Baryon and Baryonic Resonance Masses from QCD Sum Rules. 1. Nonstrange Baryons," Sov. Phys. JETP **56**, 493 (1982) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **83**, 876 (1982)].

[9] V. M. Belyaev and B. L. Ioffe, "Determination of the baryon mass and baryon resonances from the quantum-chromodynamics sum rule. Strange baryons," Sov. Phys. JETP **57**, 716 (1983) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **84**, 1236 (1983)].

[10] C. Patrignani et al. (Particle Data Group), "Review of Particle Physics", Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016).

[11] K. G. Chetyrkin, A. Khodjamirian and A. A. Pivovarov, Phys. Lett. B **661** (2008) 250 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2008.02.031 [arXiv:0712.2999 [hep-ph]].

[12] F. X. Lee, "Predicative ability of QCD sum rules for decuplet baryons," Phys. Rev. C **57** (1998) 322 [hep-ph/9707332].